Summary of Responses Received by						
Respondent ID	Name	Organisation	Date Received	Comment ID	Respondent's Comments	Council's Response
US01	Jill Barrett	-	25/09/23	0.01	In favour of better conservation of the unique industiral heritage of the victorian buildings	Noted.
				0.02	Concern to prevent any building upwards within the area i.e. no new storeys on existing buildings and now new ones taller than 4 storeys	The appraisal outlines what is important about the character and apperance of the area of which any application going forwards will need to preserve/enhance.
				0.03	Council should fund improvmeents to public realm in the area.	Noted.
US02	Philip Start	-		0.01	I am pleased that the area is being considered for extension . I believe it would improve the the whole living experience. Which is very important to my wife and i.	Noted.
				0.02	I would like to mention that the pavements outside The Factory , number 20 Nile Street are very messy and do not match other streets in the surrounding area . They require proper flag stones to match and bring the standard up .	Noted. The appraisal and management plan outlines appropriae materials for the area.
				0.03	I have no real comment with scope of the planed boundary , only the opportunity to include as much as possible should not be missed . Thank you .	Noted.
US03	Richard Parish	Historic England		0.01	Historic England welcomes the proposal to review and revise these conservation areas and to produce CAAMPs in accordance with the NPPF requirements to maintain an up to date evidence base for the historic environment and to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. Given the detail of the proposed documents we have relatively few comments and consider that the production of these documents will have a beneficial impact on the management of Hackney's rich and diverse historic environment.	Noted.
				0.02	We note that both conservation areas fall within the GLA's OAPF for the City Fringe (2015) which sets out policy and guidance in respect of planning uses and priorities. We would suggest that this could be referenced in respect of legislation and Regional Plans. In respect of this you might wish to expand the sections of land use and how this supports the vibrancy and character of the "fringe area".	Noted- a further section will be added on this.
				0.03	The appraisals do not specifically identify buildings which could be considered to detract from the character and appearance preferring to identify positive and neutral elements. This may accurately reflect the current character (particularly in the light of the redevelopment of 209 to 223 Hoxton Street which would, pre-redevelopment, detract from the areas historic character). However, it is worth considering that such identification can be a positive tool in managing change and where specific elements demonstrably have a negative impact on the character and appearance it is useful to identify these.	Noted.
				0.04	Underwood Street. The extension incorporates an area of consistent historic warehousing which is in keeping and reflects the character of the existing conservation area and the proposed extension is supported.	Noted.
				0.05	Historic England has recently funded a Civil War Defences Project which has identified the alignment of these to the south of their current alignment (which runs through the CA). You may wish to mention this in the history of the area. As the defences involved clearance inside and out this would potentially dictate that the urban history of Underwood Street is post the Civil War and does not predate it as might be thought. Any proposed changes to Hackney's existing Archaeological Priority Areas are likely to reflect this when they are updated.	Noted. A further secrtion will be added.
				0.06	Historic England supports the intention to publish these Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plans and we hope you find the above comments helpful in completing this document	Noted.